

Infrastructure service applications

Organisation	Service summary	Comments	Recommendation
Bishop Creighton House (Community Centre) This service offer is not recommended for funding	Funding sought to enable BCH to provide a Community Centre resource for local organisations and also offer capacity building support to organisations.	The application does not clearly set out the evidence base for the need for the service and the outcomes proposed are specific to services provided by the organisation rather than aligned with infrastructure specification outcomes. It is not clear where the resources would come from to facilitate the delivery of the project plan. Given the high level of competition for funding, other applications were given higher priority.	£0
CASH This service offer is recommended for funding	Funding sought to provide a matrix of financial capacity building support to the 3 rd sector including: accredited training for volunteers, finance workers treasurers and trustees. One to one coaching and financial health checks.	A robust application which clearly details the value of the service to the targeted client group. Strong evidence of need reflected in the planned activities and a clear need within the sector for targeted support to enable organisations to improve their financial management skills and abilities. This activity is considered a priority in terms of infrastructure support to the sector and this service is recommended for funding without reduction in the funding level recommended for a 4 year term.	Yr 1: £40k Yr 2: £40k Yr 3: £40k Yr 4: £40k Total: £160,000
CaVSA (Core Services) This service offer is recommended for funding	Funding sought to increase 3 rd Sector organisation's influence, building networks and partnerships and developing CaVSA's internal management and quality assurance systems.	The application has a number of strengths as it clearly meets the outcomes as outlined in the infrastructure service specification. It is able to demonstrate how, if funded, it will support the 3 rd sector by meeting the needs it has identified in the application. The application is recommended for funding although due to high competition and limited funds the offer will be below the amount requested.	Yr 1: £120,000 Yr 2: £114,000 Yr 3: £110,000 Yr 4: £110,000 Total: £454,000
CaVSA (Fundraising Project)	Funding sought to support frontline 3rd sector organisations to be more	This is a highly valued service which meets the outcomes as set out in the infrastructure specification. The priority is for infrastructure organisations to be able to understand the	Yr 1: £42,000 Yr 2: £42,000 Yr 3: £42,000

Appendix 4a: assessment summary, Infrastructure

<p>This service offer is recommended for funding</p>	<p>sustainable through income generation and the effective management, monitoring and use of any resources secured.</p>	<p>challenges and support the needs of emerging, small and medium sized voluntary organisations rather than commission single issue services. This project meets this need. The application is recommended for funding although due to high competition and limited funds the offer will be below the amount requested.</p>	<p>Yr 4: £42,000 Total: 168,000</p>
<p>CaVSA (Supplementary School Project)</p> <p>This service offer is not recommended for funding</p>	<p>Funding sought to develop the network of Hammersmith & Fulham Supplementary Schools into a self sustaining partnership over 4 years.</p>	<p>The bid does not clearly evidence how the project meets the infrastructure service specification. The application lacked clarity, and outcomes of the service were not clearly considered. Given the high competition for funding, other applications were given higher priority for funding.</p>	<p>£0</p>
<p>CaVSA (Hubs Project)</p> <p>This service offer is not recommended for funding</p>	<p>Funding sought to work with the 3rd sector to strengthen local communities and achieve better value for money through the provision of high quality, affordable and sustainable premises and back office resources. The bid included the proposal to manage a 3rd sector hub in the north of the borough.</p>	<p>The application does not sufficiently evidence the need for this project. A number of proposed activities should have been delivered with existing funding. With regards to management of 3rd sector hubs – this resource is not yet available and if the council decides to have the site externally managed, this contract will be subject to a separate commissioning process. Given the high competition for funding, other applications were given higher priority for funding.</p>	<p>£0</p>
<p>CITAS</p> <p>This service offer is not recommended for funding</p>	<p>Funding sought to support vulnerable BME communities for whom English is a second language to make informed choices on health, social care and education.</p>	<p>The organisation is primarily an interpreting and translation service, and the outcomes proposed are not reflective of the outcomes sought for the 3rd sector as a whole. The organisation did not demonstrate the skills and capacity to deliver the proposed services, and it was felt that the application did not consider existing statutory and 3rd sector agencies and organisations</p>	<p>£0</p>

Appendix 4a: assessment summary, Infrastructure

		delivering similar services. Given the high level of applications received, other services were considered a higher priority for funding.	
<p>FirstHand</p> <p>This service offer is not recommended for funding</p>	Funding sought to manage the Fatima Centre on the White City Estate and undertake some community development activities.	The application did not evidence the need for the project. The project delivery plan lacks clarity and focus and it was not evident that the outcomes as set out in the infrastructure service specification would be met. Given the high level of applications received, other services were considered a higher priority for funding	£0
<p>Grove Neighbourhood Centre</p>	Funding sought to run the community centre	Incomplete application with significant portions of information missing. Not progressed to stage 2 assessment and not recommended for funding.	£0
<p>H&F BME Network</p> <p>This service offer is not recommended for funding</p>	Funding sought to enable BME community groups to have a stronger voice and enjoy increased representation at decision making levels.	The organisation has not taken in to consideration similar capacity building and training schemes already operating in the borough and if funded would duplicate existing services provided by infrastructure organisations such as business planning, fundraising and staff training. There is no reference as to how the network would work with other key organisations in the borough. Given the high level of applications received, other services were considered a higher priority for funding. The council will seek to re-tender a “voice network” function for the sector.	£0
<p>H&F Refugee Forum</p> <p>This service offer is not recommended for funding</p>	Funding sought to provide capacity building and information support to refugee community organisations in the borough.	The project had not taken in to consideration similar capacity building and training schemes already operating in the borough and if funded would duplicate existing services provided by infrastructure organisations such as business planning, fundraising and staff training. The outcomes detailed in the bid are not adequately supported by the activities set out in the project plan. There is no reference as to how forum would work with other key organisations in the Borough such as Hammersmith and Fulham BME Network. Given the high level of applications received, other services were considered a higher priority for funding.	£0

Appendix 4a: assessment summary, Infrastructure

<p>H&F Volunteer Centre</p> <p>This service offer is recommended for funding</p>	<p>Funding sought for office costs and a contribution towards core staff salary costs which will enable H&F Volunteer Centre to provide support and training to volunteers and organisations interested in recruiting volunteers.</p>	<p>The application outlines a key service in the borough. The project provides critical services for residents and organisations which meets the outcomes as set out in the infrastructure service specification. The application is recommended for funding although due to high competition and limited funds the offer will be below the amount requested.</p>	<p>Yr 1: £120,000 Yr 2: £114,000 Yr 3: £110,000 Yr 4: £110,000 Total: £454,000</p>
<p>HAFAD (Active Citizens)</p> <p>This service offer is recommended for funding</p>	<p>Funding sought to increase take up of the organisations existing services by Deaf people including community activities, outreach, a structured volunteer programme and involvement in training and in influencing.</p>	<p>Although the project does not fully satisfy the infrastructure service specification, the element of the service to provide outreach to local deaf residents through a partner agency does add value to the wider infrastructure needs of the borough. The organisation is therefore recommended for funding for this element only.</p>	<p>Yr 1: £10,000 Yr 2: £9,500 Total: £19,500</p>
<p>Harmony Community Day Nursery</p> <p>This service offer is not recommended for funding</p>	<p>Funding sought to establish and facilitate a network assisting child care providers in the Borough to become social enterprises.</p>	<p>Whilst support to enable local organisations to establish social enterprise functions is recognised as a gap in the overall infrastructure provision in the borough, the proposed project is too limited in its scope as only child care providers/organisations would be supported. The organisation does not evidence its claimed expertise in developing social enterprise. There is a need for social enterprise support in the Borough but support should be available to the whole 3rd sector.</p>	<p>£0</p>
<p>Minaret Community Centre</p> <p>This service offer is not recommended</p>	<p>Funding sought to deliver n 3 projects from the Minaret centre.</p>	<p>incomplete application. Not progressed to stage 2 assessment and not recommended for funding.</p>	<p>£0</p>

Appendix 4a: assessment summary, Infrastructure

for funding			
<p>Play Association H&F (Play Network Infrastructure Support)</p> <p>This service offer is not recommended for funding</p>	<p>Funding sought to support play providers and other related organisations to develop capacity both individually and as a group as well as developing consortia where appropriate.</p>	<p>The project has not taken in to consideration similar capacity building and training schemes already operating in the Borough and does not meet the outcomes outlined in the Infrastructure service specification. Given the high level of applications received, other services were considered a higher priority for funding.</p>	£0
<p>Pre-School Learning Alliance</p> <p>This service offer is not recommended for funding</p>	<p>Funding sought to establish a network to build capacity and strengthen groups providing pre-school learning opportunities to children in the Borough.</p>	<p>The project had not taken in to consideration similar capacity building and training schemes already operating in the Borough and if funded would duplicate existing services provided by infrastructure organisations such as business planning, fundraising and staff training. The needs identified in the bid are not reflected in the project's outcomes or delivery plan and for these reasons, and the high level of applications received, other services were considered a higher priority for funding.</p>	£0
<p>Standing Together Against Domestic Violence</p> <p>This service offer is not recommended for funding</p>	<p>Funding sought to improve the skills of 3rd Sector staff and volunteers to improve local services to meet the needs of survivors of domestic abuse.</p>	<p>The application has a number of strengths however, the service proposed does not build the capacity of 3rd sector organisations as set out in the infrastructure service specification. The organisation will be advised to resubmit under Safer Communities specification during 2010 – 2011. Given the high level of applications received, other services were considered a higher priority for funding.</p>	£0
Tendis Ltd.	Funding sought to provide	The organisation seeks to establish a building based centre for	£0

Appendix 4a: assessment summary, Infrastructure

<p>(Community Hub)</p> <p>This service offer is not recommended for funding</p>	<p>premises management services to enable the development of a Economic Wellbeing hub in the north of the borough.</p>	<p>employment and advice services in the borough. However, the proposed service would not complement the current WorkZone model of employment and training provision in the borough. The application did not demonstrate the need for a specific, building based centre for economic wellbeing, and did not clearly meet the outcomes as set out in the service specification. Given the high level of applications received, other services were considered a higher priority for funding.</p>	
<p>Townmead Youth Club at St Michaels (The Townmead Centre Management)</p>	<p>Funding sought for management and running costs of the centre.</p>	<p>INELIGIBLE: incomplete application</p>	<p>£0</p>
<p>Urban Partnership Group (Urban Futures)</p> <p>This service offer is recommended for funding</p>	<p>Funding sought to continue the development of the Masbro Centre as a community 'anchor' centre, develop two new neighbourhood regeneration hubs, at the Opportunities Centre and 363 North End Road and run a CRB checking service.</p>	<p>The business case for supporting the development of the Masbro Centre is particularly strong as it meets the needs for community centre provision in the Borough. The remaining strands of the bid do not provide sufficient evidence with which to recommend funding. One strand runs at a loss and the need for 'regeneration' hubs is not adequately defined, nor identified as a priority for the council. Funds is recommended to contribute to the Masbro Centre element of the application.</p>	<p>Yr 1: £48,000 Yr 2: £45,600 Yr 3: £43,230 Yr 4: £43,320 Total: £180,240</p>